

# Impact of climate finance on gender equity for sustainable global development: Can aid for climate action also aid gender equity?

Shariq Waheed<sup>1</sup> & Husnain Waheed<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Gender Studies, Faculty of Behavioral and Social Sciences, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Economics and Finance, Foundation University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan

Correspondence: Shariq Waheed, Department of Gender Studies, Faculty of Behavioral and Social Sciences, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. E-mail: shariqwaheed2@gmail.com

Received: May 27, 2022

Accepted: June 17, 2022

Published: July 01, 2022

## Abstract

The idea of climate action including adaptation and mitigation is preposterous and unattainable without financial backing and investments, a requisite, which is fulfilled by climate financing. However, the concept of climate action is not synonymous with climate justice, where tackling climate threats with the aid of climate finance does not always manifest in gender-equitable conditions. The current paper presents a broad review of literature, expanding on the 'distributive, contextual, and procedural' equity framework on climate mitigation and adaptation strategies including, coastal wetland protection and sustainable agroforestry. The review reveals that the implications of climate finance are not parallelly distributed between men and women and climate action, in several contexts and spaces, exacerbates already existing structural and climate change-induced inequalities even further. To optimize the effectiveness of climate finance, the paper urges authorities and policymakers to integrate gender-responsive components into climate finance frameworks to ratify structural and behavioral inequalities along with empowering women to engage in climate action ventures without undermining their adequate living conditions.

**Keywords:** Gender equity, Climate change, Climate finance, Coastal wetland protection, Agroforestry.

## Resumo

A ideia de ação climática, incluindo adaptação e mitigação, é absurda e inatingível sem apoio financeiro e investimentos, um requisito que é preenchido pelo financiamento climático. No entanto, o conceito de ação climática não é sinônimo de justiça climática, onde o combate às ameaças climáticas com a ajuda de financiamento climático nem sempre se manifesta em condições de igualdade de gênero. O presente artigo apresenta uma ampla revisão da literatura, expandindo a estrutura de equidade 'distributiva, contextual e processual' sobre estratégias de mitigação e adaptação climática, incluindo proteção de zonas úmidas costeiras e agrossilvicultura sustentável. A revisão revela que as implicações do financiamento climático não são distribuídas paralelamente entre homens e mulheres e a ação climática, em vários contextos e espaços, exacerba ainda mais as desigualdades estruturais e induzidas pelas mudanças climáticas já existentes. Para otimizar a eficácia do financiamento climático, o documento insta as autoridades e os formuladores de políticas a integrar componentes sensíveis ao gênero nas estruturas de financiamento climático para ratificar as desigualdades estruturais e comportamentais, além de capacitar as mulheres a se envolverem em empreendimentos de ação climática sem prejudicar suas condições de vida adequadas.

**Palavras-chave:** Equidade de gênero, Mudanças climáticas, Finanças climáticas, Proteção de zonas úmidas costeiras, Agrofloresta.

## Resumen

La idea de la acción climática que incluye la adaptación y la mitigación es absurda e inalcanzable sin respaldo financiero e inversiones, un requisito que se cumple con el financiamiento climático. Sin embargo, el concepto de acción climática no es sinónimo de justicia climática, donde abordar las amenazas climáticas con la ayuda de financiamiento climático no siempre se manifiesta en condiciones equitativas de género. El documento actual

presenta una revisión amplia de la literatura, ampliando el marco de equidad 'distributivo, contextual y de procedimiento' sobre estrategias de mitigación y adaptación climática, incluida la protección de los humedales costeros y la agrosilvicultura sostenible. La revisión revela que las implicaciones del financiamiento climático no se distribuyen de manera paralela entre hombres y mujeres y que la acción climática, en varios contextos y espacios, exacerba aún más las desigualdades estructurales ya existentes y las inducidas por el cambio climático. Para optimizar la eficacia de la financiación climática, el documento insta a las autoridades y a los formuladores de políticas a integrar componentes sensibles al género en los marcos de financiación climática para ratificar las desigualdades estructurales y de comportamiento, además de empoderar a las mujeres para que participen en proyectos de acción climática sin socavar sus condiciones de vida adecuadas.

**Palabras clave:** Equidad de género, Cambio climático, Financiamiento climático, Protección de humedales costeros, Agroforestería.

## 1. Introduction

Climate risk is few of the significant perils of the modern world, impacting men and women differently due to which international collective efforts to tackle climate risks are at an exigent intersection (Nakhoda et al., 2014). As men and women have distinct mitigative and adaptive strategies, the supporting financial mechanisms, instruments, and components focused on environmental supportive endeavors and exercises need to consider these gender differential vulnerabilities and influences in reserves plans and operationalization as well as substantial venture and financial support.

Up until this point, ecological funding and financing instruments have given just restricted advantages to the developing countries including the most disadvantaged and poor countries. Women and Gender minorities are for the most part least considered by contemporary ecological financing components. The reasons are complex and can be found among those blocking women's advancement everywhere. They range from an absence of admittance to capital and markets to women's unnoticed and uncompensated consideration commitments to lacking legitimate insurance and proprietorship privileges to social and cultural predispositions against women's commitment to learning, political cooperation, and decision-making processes.

Recent years have seen an expansion of various new instruments for climate and environment finances along with a number of actors (Schalatek, 2009). United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) defines climate finance as: "local, national, or transnational financing—drawn from public, private, and alternative sources of financing—that seeks to support mitigation and adaptation actions that will address climate change." It's about finances that states administrations and enterprises need to attempt to progress the economy of the world to a low-carbon way, to diminish ozone-depleting substance fixations levels, and to assemble resilience of nations to environmental change.

Recent years have seen an escalation of various new instruments for environment and climate funding with a large number of entertainers. These new components include bilateral and as per Climate Policy Initiative (CPI), Yearly followed environment finance during 2017 and 2018 reached the USD half-trillion imprint interestingly. Overall, during two-year time of 2017-2018, addressed a USD 116 billion (25%) increment from 2015-2016. The ascent reflects consistent expansions in finance flows across essentially a wide range of investors. Cash flow Environment finance streams reached a record high of USD 612 billion in 2017, driven especially by environmentally friendly power limit augmentations in India, China, and the US, as well as expanded public responsibilities to energy and land use production (Buchner et al., 2019).

These new instruments for climate funding also involve bilateral and public funds to multilateral ones under the sponsorship of the World Bank, the United Nations, and the Multilateral Development Banks, carbon funds along with the possibility and guarantee of local and public cap-and-exchange plans where auctioning of contamination and pollution grants could yield billions of dollars to be utilized for climate relief and mitigation endeavors. However, up to this point, none of these new financing drives has been engendered (Frenova, 2020). The test and the potential are to corroborate that gender differential effects and capacities are a significant consideration in ongoing climate finance conversations and operationalizations.

As there can be no fair and impartial global environment arrangement without a thorough worldwide climate financing apprehension. Furthermore, this understanding can only be impartial comprehensive, and equitable when it consolidates gender sensitivity and ventures toward gender-responsive climate financing panaceas. Building on this theme, the present paper intends to scrutinize the impact and potential of climate finance on climate justice and gender equity. The current paper also inspects whether, and how, environment finance

accomplishes what the UN refers to as 'gender responsive' (OHCHR, 2022) — whether climate finance provides an equal arena to individuals from different genders as they determine the utilization of climate resources and whether and how climate finances can combat and defy gender discrimination.

## **2. Materials and Methods**

To investigate the relationship between gender equity and climate finance, the current paper, conceptually draws on McDermott et al. (2013) framework of equity. The current paper adopts the methodology by Wong (2016) selecting coastal wetland protection and sustainable agroforestry as case studies of mitigation and adaptation to scrutinize the processes and consequences of climate finance-induced strategies on the manifestation of gender dynamics. In order to do so, the current present paper proposes that as a source of development intervention, climate finance ought to adopt a comprehensive strategy to inspect gender equity from the procedural, contextual and distributive aspects.

Methodologically, the current paper concentrates on gender, economics, and development literature and uses the two interventions as keywords to search for relevant literature. The current paper focuses highly on case studies that concentrate on the indigenous contexts and institutional as well as socio-cultural arrangements that create distinction between women and men and their access to resources related to ecological degradation and climate change.

Structurally, the current paper firstly introduces the concept of the gender equity framework and climate finance. Secondly current paper educates on two case studies to explore the gender dimensions and consequences through the procedural, contextual and distributive equity framework. The paper finally concludes by delineating the prospects and challenges of using climate finance to succour gender equity.

## **3. Climate finance**

Climate finance is defined as worldwide and local financing of public and private financial investment that aims to succour adaptation and mitigation to environmental degradation and climate change. It is about funding and investment that states, enterprises, and individuals need to embrace to progress the world's economy to a low-carbon way, lessen ozone harming substance levels, and strengthen the resilience of nations against climate change and environmental degradation (Hong et al., 2020).

The general objective is to guarantee adequate monetary help from developed nations to assist undeveloped nations with decreasing ozone harming substance outflows and adjusting to changing climate. Climate finance comes from four unique origins: multi-lateral, bi-lateral, private, and public. The major four international Climate funds are a) Climate Investment Fund which was mutually settled by the regional multilateral and World Bank in 2009. The Climate Investment Fund (CIF) is a subsidizing channel intended to help under-developed nations pilot low discharge and environment strong advancement draws near (Rai; Smith, 2015); b) Adaptation Fund with the main purpose to help under-developed nation Parties especially helpless against the unfriendly impacts of climate change to meet the expenses of adaptation/transformation.

The Adaptation Fund was established in 2001 and became operational in Bali in 2007 providing a new instrument to address a worldwide challenge of climate change with a few profoundly creative components (Fund, 2015); c) Global Environment Facility which is an independent and worldwide monetary instrument i.e., an award and loaning establishment that advances participation and cultivates activities to safeguard the worldwide climate.

Laid out in 1991, it joins 180 part legislatures and accomplices with worldwide foundations, non-governmental associations, and the private area to help emerging nations with ecological assignments. (Boisson de Chazournes, 2005); d) Green Climate Fund (GCF) which is the latest in the multilateral environment finance design, it became completely functional in 2015, supporting USD 168 million for its initial eight tasks just weeks before COP 21. The GCF is a working element of the Financial Mechanism of the UNFCCC. The 24 GCF Board individuals, with equivalent portrayal of developed and under-developed nations, and support from the secretariat have been working to operationalize the fund since their first gathering in August 2012. (Schalatek et al., 2012)

Environment finance has become more politicized on the grounds that the distinctions and strain among developed and under-developed nations have surfaced and augmented. While most climate finance is right now obtained from existing aid commitments many under-developed nations worry about the new financial support.

Currently, most Climate & Adaptation Funds are given as loans instead of Grants & Donations. It raises the concern that in order to return the loans, under-developed nations might have to lessen social consumption budgets, which will have a more noteworthy adverse consequence on women & minorities, and in this way enhance gender-based inequality (Razavi, 2016). Climate finance likewise helps uncover the inner, regulatory legislative issues inside individual under-developed nations about how environment reserves are dispensed and moved down through various services, divisions, and executing organizations.

#### **4. Framework**

This paper infers on McDermott et al. (2013) framework to examine the connection between gender equity and climate finance. McDermott et al. (2013) have been broadly applied in surveying value from different viewpoints, for example, the prevention marketing (Bryant et al., 2014) or the carbon market (Mathur et al., 2014). By bringing up directing issues, instead of utilizing the generally relevant model, this structure empowers analysts and strategy creators to take a comprehensive methodology in looking at the context of explicit elements of equity.

There are three degrees of investigation in McDermott et al. (2013) equity framework: a) contextual equity, which involves the limits of individual actors and analyzes the way prevailing collective socio-cultural and institutional circumstances shape individuals' access to assets. b) Procedural equity is related to the difference in individuals' decision-making power. It features consideration/avoidance, portrayal, and responsibility. c) Distributive equity inspects the distributions of stakes, benefits, and threats between individuals and analyzes components of impartiality in the process of distribution. The current paper adopts Wong's (2016) framework which features gender as an additional component in the gender equity- climate finance model.

In current paper mitigation and adaptation are opted as strategies relevant to climate change that climate finance caters to. Coastal wetland protection for mitigation and agroforestry for adaptation are chosen based on their relevance to climate conditions and human living conditions.

#### **5. Mitigation: coastal wetlands protection**

Coastal ecosystems that include seagrasses, mangroves, and salt marshes serve as the key carbon sinks for the world, storing larger aggregates of carbon along with serving as marine habitats and natural water filtration systems. Coastal wetlands also guard land against floods and the rise of sea levels (Suarez, 2020). Research indicates that coastal wetlands, regardless of possessing under 5% of the worldwide land region and under 2% of the sea, they store approximately 50% of all carbon buried in oceans (Duarte et al., 2005).

However, upon degradation of coastal wetlands, the huge stores of carbon are extricated as three significant ozone-depleting substances: methane, nitrous oxide, carbon dioxide and. Globally, an expected 450 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> — analogous to in excess of 97 million vehicles' worth — is radiated from the annihilation of coastal wetlands every year, speeding up global warming, environmental degradation, and climate change (Pendleton et al., 2012).

Since coastal wetlands offer carbon sequestration and several other significant advantages, protection and reclamation of these regions is a significant natural strategy for the mitigation of the impacts of climate change, and aiding networks globally in the adaptation to a degrading climate. Being a pertinent partaker against climate action, coastal wetlands stand high in priority for climate finance. Preserving and re-establishing coastal wetlands worldwide could yield a profit of US\$3.7 billion every year founded on the value of carbon alone (Ten Brink, 2014). Furthermore, Mangroves, for instance, decrease yearly flooding for in excess of 18 million individuals worldwide, and their deficiency of them could bring about US\$82 billion in losses because of flood harm (Worthington; Spalding, 2018).

Regardless of their many qualities, coastal wetlands have been lost, corrupted, or vigorously altered around the world. Approximately 54% to 57% of regular wetlands have been lost all over the planet (Davidson, 2014). As of recently, climate finance in coastal wetland preservation have been inadequate to forestall their continuous misfortune and debasement. However, recharged activity on environmental change and more extensive acknowledgment of the various advantages these systems give have prompted an arising set-up of new climate finance opportunities. Studies have shown that reestablishing coastal wetlands to their verifiable levels by 2050 would need climate financing of US\$27 billion to US\$37 billion every year (Croitoru et al., 2020).

Approximately 2.4 billion individuals inhabit the 100 km surrounding area of the coast making the figure of

people living around 10km within the coast to around 600 million individuals (Prakash et al., 2022). Research indicates that involving those native people in coastal protection and management can contribute to the enhancement of their livelihood in several ways. Aquatic food frameworks, such as hydroponics and catch fisheries, are key for the occupations and sustenance of these native populations (FAO, 2020).

Women are vital and are targeted in the climate finance projects focused on the coastal protection and management as they contribute precisely as processors, traders, and fish farmers (Tilley et al., 2021), along with being partakers in the labor and apparatus for the fishing and other enterprises related to coastal wetlands (Hanson, 2017).

### *5.1 Contextual equity*

Gender is, fundamentally, a term used to underline that sex imbalance isn't brought about by the physiological and autonomic contrasts that women and men portray, yet rather by the inequitable and biased treatment socially concurred to them. In this sense, gender implies the social, financial, and political circumstances that are the premise of explicit norms, values, and standards of conduct connected with gender and its associations. While protecting coastal wetlands, it is critical to note that women and men have different understandings of the utilization also, the execution of protective strategies concerning coastal wetlands or knowledge about the environment, biodiversity, climate, and ecosystems.

Ecological conservation is not a gender-neutral venture. Women and men may have different preferences for certain activities. A study by Anne-Marie Hanson (2017) revealed that in coastal wetland protection concerns related with the flood of trash, intrigued native women more than men, who have been resolving this issue for more than 20 years. In modest communities up and down the bank of Yucatán, women were the fundamental backers for local area squander the board, framing grassroots reusing and treating the soil gatherings, as well as between metropolitan trash collusions.

One more clarification for the distinctions among male and female inclinations towards the wetland conservation lies in their inconsistent admittance to land privileges and risks to coastal hazards (Perrault; Martin, 2005). Women face more hindrances in getting the finances and money and that forestalls them from supplanting dead mangrove forests. The fact that they will be denied of land rights, women are well informed of the possibility that they might no longer have their rights to coastal plantations and land after widowhood or divorce. Many studies likewise demonstrate that men control admittance to land through a standard residency (Cleaver, 2012). They have optimum power in decision-making regarding coastal wetlands. With restricted land proprietorship and command over useful assets, women, as compared to men, may not have a solid motivation to take part in wetland conservation.

### *5.2 Distributive equity*

Despite the significance of women for coastal wetland protection, especially in fisheries and aquaculture, women are frequently appointed to the most unsteady and inadequately paid positions prompting an absence of acknowledgment and to fisheries being viewed as a more masculine and male-dominated domain (Satapornvanit, 2018). The precarity of women's positions is compounded by an absence of exact, gender-disaggregated information availability.

This absence of information renders women essentially imperceptible and builds up gender imbalances (Brugere; Williams, 2017). For instance, on the Pacific Island, the absence of gender-responsive data prompts a lack of gender-responsive decision making and low female cooperation in practical coastal management. The FAO has underlined that regardless of whether such gender-disaggregated information ought to start to be gathered, it would be probably not going to mirror the less noticeable gender aspects of the coastal management including the admittance to financial assets, innovation, and resources, influence to make certain choices, or admittance to administrative roles (FAO, 2020).

### *5.3 Procedural equity*

Ecological degradation of coastal wetlands can likewise influence accessibility and water access, which conveys gender-based repercussions for girls and women, because of water collection burden that is directed straight to them (UNICEF, 2019; Sharmin; Khan, 2012). In regions where water isn't accessible, women are answerable for bringing it in eight families out of ten. Around there, they can make a few outings per day to water sources,

decreasing the time spent on different activities — like going to class, completing pay generating exercises, or getting a charge out of recreation time — propagating the gendered pattern of destitution (Castañeda et al., 2020).

Moreover, in the process of collecting offsite natural assets, young girls and women are frequently presented to different structures of gender-based violence (GBV), including verbal and actual provocation and sexual violence (Owren, 2021; Secretariat, 2020). Additionally, climate change essentially affects wetlands. Flooding, dry season, and high temperatures compromise the wellbeing and usefulness of wetlands and expose wetland communities (especially women) to expanded livelihood vulnerability, while sabotaging a series of basic freedoms, including privileges to water, food, and wellbeing (Knox, 2009). Disregarding such gender explicit effects can fundamentally diminish the adequacy of climate change. It may also manifest in inefficient adaptation and mitigation and adaptation practices worsening the conditions of men and women even more than before the intercession.

In this specific circumstance, it is evident that settling gender disparities isn't just an issue of "correcting a wrong" yet a significant open door to utilize already underutilized knowledge, talent and capacities of women for fighting and adjusting to climate change with the backing of climate finance. Additionally, there should be a change in perception in utilization of climate finance in wetland protection ventures regarding women from seeing them as victims to perceiving them as strong climate revolutionaries.

Regardless of the requirement for gender-responsive climate financing, women's expertise and competency in coastal wetland protection keep on being ignored and unacknowledged, with social norms often supporting an inequitable engagement of women in coastal management and decision-making process. If the women are underrepresented then their needs, ideas and concerns regarding coastal wetland protection and management go unheard.

## **6. Adaptation: sustainable agroforestry**

Integrating diverse shrubs or trees with livestock, agroforestry offers a course of action for adopting and adjusting to environmental and climate change (Aryal et al., 2019; Schoeneberger et al., 2012). Trees make microclimates diminishing surrounding temperatures and intensity stress, monitoring soil dampness and creating nitrogen-rich feed, accordingly expanding food accessibility (Thornton et al., 2017). Trees also modify provincial water cycles and reuse precipitation, decrease stormflow and re-energize springs yet can drain groundwater relying upon species and thickness of planting, along these lines changing the dangers and effects of dry seasons and floods (Nel et al., 2014).

Moreover, trees help to moderate environmental change, putting away soils and carbon in biomass and diminishing atmospheric carbon dioxide loads (Le Quéré et al., 2013; Venkateswarlu; Shanker, 2009). Aligning with that, changes in land utilization from agriculture and forestry reckoning for almost 25% of anthropogenic ozone-depleting substance emanations, it is evident that the land management patterns require a reboot. With regard to the evidences, the significance of agroforestry has been also been recognized as a movement particularly under the reforestation and afforestation exercises that have been endorsed as GHG-alleviating methodologies under the Kyoto Protocol; consequently, agroforestry frameworks stood out as a C-sequestration technique from all nations (Makundi; Sathaye, 2004; Haile et al., 2008).

Agroforestry is referred as The World Agroforestry Center as “a dynamic, ecologically based, natural resources management system that, through the integration of trees on farms and in the agricultural landscape, diversifies and sustains production for increased social, economic and environmental benefits for land users at all levels.”, pastures with trees can sequester five to multiple times more carbon than region without trees of a similar area.

Farmers can also be more productive by raising domesticated animals and developing yields all the while utilizing fundamentally little land. Enhancing crops and adding domesticated animals for these terrains can provide farmers with more revenue and decrease livelihood dangers brought about by climate and environmental change. Increasing the utilization of this technique to 554 million sections of land worldwide, assessed to require \$41.6 billion investment, could help farmers with acknowledging \$699 billion in financial benefits from income expansion. Nations have grown huge scope of agroforestry based programs and strategies to adapt to climate changes. For instance, nations across Latin America including Brasil, Colombia, Costa Rica and Peru have developed nationally appropriate mitigation and adaptation actions to increase silvopastoral dairy cattle creation - an agroforestry framework in which trees are scattered on grazing grounds (Monroe et al., 2016; Allen et al., 2011; Bosi et al., 2020).

Agroforestry home gardens in East Africa and Ecological regeneration of trees in West Africa are perceived for their capacity to give nutritional food varieties during droughts (Engelberger et al., 2013; Reij; Garrity, 2016; Linger, 2014). China's Slanting Land Conversion Program has expanded tree cover on a bigger region of land than ongoing tree cover expansions in the remainder of the world consolidated (Ahrends et al., 2017). This turned out to be especially applicable to Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) under the Kyoto Protocol, which permits industrialized nations with a GHG-decrease obligation to put resources into relief projects in agricultural nations as an option to what is for the most part more exorbitant in their own nations. Since agroforestry is for the most part drilled by resource farmers in emerging nations, there is an appealing an open door for those farmers to benefit monetarily from agroforestry in the event that the C sequestered through agroforestry exercises is offered to developed nations (Cheruiyot, 2019; Choro, 2020).

Women have customarily played significant part not only in agrarian yield but also in the management and utilization of trees. Women are a major focus in native forestation projects since they are viewed as the essential users and administrators of forest assets (Fortmann; Rocheleau, 1985). When it comes to agriculture, Women produce 60-80% of the world's food. According to FAO 42.2% of the farming workforce is Women (FAO, 2011; Nelson et al., 2012). Cultivating and Farming is also significant to women as they make up 43% of the aggregate farming workforce on the planet, and 50 percent in Sub-Saharan Africa (Manfreet et al., 2013).

Changing cultivation and water the board rehearses, prompted by environmental and climate change, will have critical gender influence since girls and women are by and large expected to get food, energy and water assets for their families in emerging nations (Kristjanson et al., 2014; Bhalotra; Heady, 2003). These unequal distributions of tasks in agroforestry due to intense workload and increased physical exhaustion have major health-related implications to women too (Prati et al., 2022; Lambrou; Piana, 2006).

Hence, a ton of assumption has been raised regarding the job of agroforestry as a technique for C sequestration and its gender manifestations. It is in this way opportune that agroforestry has been chosen as an adaptation strategy to be scrutinized under this paper.

### *6.1 Contextual equity*

With agroforestry recognized as a mediation for environment alleviation and climate adaptation by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Barros et al., 2014; IPCC, 2014), there are an increased number of chances for climate finance actors to participate in endeavors to catalyze wide-scale reception of agroforestry frameworks among smallscale farmers. Along with furthering the cause of climate adaptation, climate financing is especially appropriate to tackle poverty and other native issues (Bettles et al., 2021).

Climate financing can aid the climate adaptations as they can inculcate strategies and actors that are frequently nimbler and more adaptable than governmental programs as dependence on state governments can prompt inaction or slow advancement. Non-state actors backed by climate financing have helped set plans, established standards and structures to help climate adaptation endeavors, gave funding, checked progress, made and scattered information, also, carried out strategies or projects at the native level (Haufler, 2009).

Previous research indicates depicts gender as an important factor in molding farmers' incentives for adaptation. People frequently have various targets for establishing trees. Men are normally keen on trees for business objectives while women are more disposed to tree items for means utilize like kindling, soil improvement, grain, and organic products. This is reflected in the tree ascribed that women choose. Women in female-headed families in Malawi contemplate trees that grow at a high rate and speed as their best option, followed by trees with great consuming characteristics and which produce a ton of charcoal. Men positioned trees that develop straight as their best option; a sign that time is their main need.

Straightness was followed by trees that develop quickly. Since all kinds of people similarly use tree items, it is feasible to make due tree ventures for various items in a manner that all kinds of people can benefit. A valid example is the plantation and usage of *Grevillea robusta* in the focal high countries of Kenya, which is developed for fuelwood and timber. While women frequently have different establishing targets and assessment measures than men, we tracked down astoundingly some variety in the way they score various species on various standards.

Women's engagement is exceptionally high in agroforestry endeavors as they are viewed as women's sphere, for example, indigenous harvests irrigation. Indigenous vegetables and fruits are alluring to women as they include negligible contributions of physical labor, which women can manage (Kiptot; Franzel, 2011). In the shea producing locale of Benin 90% of women are engaged in gathering products/nuts of the shea tree (Aboyella,

2015) while in Cameroon, women are additionally the primary authorities of the leaves of *Gnetum africanum* which is utilized as a vegetable (Kiptot, 2015).

In western Kenya and Zambia, there were more women than men utilizing further developed biomass and fallows move in western Kenya and involving grain bushes in focal Kenya. The discoveries likewise show that in spite of the fact that women are as effectively engaged with agroforestry for feed creation, woodlot innovation, and soil refinement as their male partners, their level of engagement is less as reflected in the quantity of bushes/trees they plant compared with men (Kiptot; Franzel, 2012). Female heads of families established just half as numerous bushes/trees as men, mirroring the way that their farms are half as enormous. The fewer contribution mirrors women's need for assets, especially land and work, their weighty responsibility, and maybe add their more noteworthy abhorrence for risk.

### *6.2 Procedural equity*

Promoting and enhancing adaptation capacity in women by involving them in agroforestry has been proven to manifest in poverty eradication and climate betterment strategies by several studies. Organic products irrigated through agroforestry can give women economic benefits. Women acquire \$ 7-\$ 36 for every annum from selling shea in Benin which might appear to be little, however, it is influential for them (Kiptot & Franzel, 2011). In Tabora, Tanzania, women are producing income through handling and selling juice, wine, and jam from native natural products. They are procuring between \$ 12 to \$ 30 every week by making juice (Oduol et al., 2006). In Cameroon, pay from *Gnetum africanum* is very significant with a normal of \$ 2,629 per family each year (Kiptot; Franzel, 2012; Kiptot; Franzel, 2011). In Malawi, a woodlot saves women 15-180 minutes every day (Oduol et al., 2006).

Yet, the distinction in economic benefits between men and women is critical due to a number of factors. Male-headed families acquire more than three times as much pay from the offer of woodlot items as do female-headed families (Asfaw et al., 2013). According to Ogunlela and Mukhtar (2009) and Buchenrieder (2004), while women play a fundamental part in food security, men take most farm decisions and practice command over useful assets, while females just generate profit from the leftovers of men's trees for means purposes. Women's freedoms to organic products and trees are normally restricted to items that are contemplated to have practically no business value while men save high-worth items for themselves (Rocheleau; Edmunds, 1997; Kiptot; Franzel, 2012). Vardhan & Catacutan (2017) argue that women maybe not included in agroforestry planning in light of the fact that the design of hegemonic land acquisition frameworks was bluntly disregarded when agroforestry plans were laid out.

To offset a portion of these shortfalls, Pascual et al. (2014) recommend that integrating a gender perspective into the preparation and execution interaction of agroforestry plans may enable women to achieve equity and financial empowerment. Monetary empowerment is perceived as the capacity of women to settle on choices on creation, pay, work, relaxation and admittance to inputs. The result is enhanced gender equity (Alkire et al., 2013; Mehra, 1997).

Moreover, agroforestry plans that address value issues, for example, focusing on gender balance and guaranteeing that part benefits surpass costs (both monetary and social), will notice an expansion in cooperation. Additionally, productive agroforestry plans accomplish powerful and sustained results when the requirements of minimized and asset destitute individuals, for example, value issues are thought about (Pauscal et al., 2014). Furthermore, the International Small Group Tree Planting Program (TIST) in Kenya, an agroforestry program, had the option to advance the cooperation of women smallholder farmers. This was accomplished, among others, by taking on a gender balanced approach and implementing a quota of 40% for female farmers (Benjamin; Blum, 2015).

### *6.3 Distributive equity*

Climate finance has kicked off the new ground by making a difference, aiding developing states to stand up against the ramifications of environmental and climate change. Gender- climate justice and the basic part played by women as important partakers against environmental degradation and climate change is currently a laid out need (Adams et al., 2014; Hawken, 2017; UNFCCC, 2018; UNWomen, 2018a).

The progress of gender mainstreaming into the climate finance framework has been unparallel, slow, and not adequately compelling considering the extent of the climate change emergency nearby (Schalatek, 2018). Gender components of worldwide global finance are still generally negligible and keep on being treated as an 'untimely



idea' rather than an essential necessity (OECD, 2016). Just 3% of subsidizing from the main 30 climate finance donors somewhere in four years (US\$8 billion) explicitly and fundamentally tended to gender justice in developing states (OECD, 2016).

Empirical evidence indicates that, regardless of the worldwide exertion of gender mainstreaming at the global level, women particularly in streams of agroforestry, keep on confronting challenges in getting to environment finance (Oxfam, 2017). Systematic and structural obstacles keep on inhibiting women farmers' involvement in the worldwide climate finance system. These difficulties incorporate the intricacy of getting to climate finance, absence of significant help at the local area level to access reserves, botched open doors due to language hindrances, failure to opportune access data, and public organizations that will more often than not deter women from attempting to get to climate finance (Samuwai et al., 2020).

Generally speaking, current endeavors to 'cause' environment finance have prompted minimal in the method of answers for addressing imbalances looked by women. The all-encompassing issue concerning why women are not 'part of the image' is on the grounds that worldwide strategy to manage climate challenge is tragically deficient and is intensified by ongoing gender blindness intrinsic in how foundational issues are dealt with (Gonda, 2019; Röhr et al., 2009). The proceeded invisibility of women in the environmental change dynamic space at all levels hinders women from being essential for the arrangement, and expands the gamble that any implied 'arrangements' being planned and carried out would be counterproductive and end up additional gender disparities.

Apart from climate finance inuqaul distributive issues, women are frequently not qualified for land freedoms on account of standard regulations, and that influences their admittance to advance, credit, and horticultural augmentation administrations (Bernier et al., 2013).

Where women are associated with marketing agroforestry items, they are generally bound to the little retail exchange while men rule the wholesale trade. Women sellers likewise get fewer marketing edges than men. This is ascribed to the way that men as a rule have more stock as compared to women since they have admittance to more capital (Kiptot; Franzel, 2011).

The starting point to address these gender disparities will be the formulation of gender-responsive policies that not only facilitate women to access climate finance but also endorse the legal land rights of women. Another inevitable intervention would be to promote women's uplifting thought process on a behavioral level stereotypical gender norms and roles places women under lopsided strain in balancing their work life and domestic obligations.

## **7. Conclusions**

Climate finance has proven to have critical implications against the cause of climate action, though its effectiveness in alleviating gender equity is found to be erratic and capricious. The critical review of literature in this paper employs a distributive, contextual, procedural equity model to scrutinize the effectiveness of two main climate finance-focused strategies in coastal wetlands protection and sustainable agroforestry and their gender dimensions.

In coastal wetlands protection, climate action can in several cases, have significant, frequently bad ramifications for women where women play a significant part not only in coastal wetland protection ventures but also in the native economies of coastal areas, gathering and cultivating resources and fishing, and in family upkeep errands too. However, in a scope of ventures for the protection of coastal wetlands, women, their needs and the distribution of equal resources stay neglected. A severe division between production ventures and care work is subsequently not continuously supportive in grasping gender relations among coastal networks. This has contributed to both the precarity and imperceptibility of women's work. At long last, on account of property possession, one is many times managing the house, instead of individual privileges.

In hegemonic patriarchal settings, women are barred from the coastal protection management except if there are explicit arrangements for their incorporation. Similarly, in the designing and implementation of agroforestry projects, climate finance ventures have not accounted for female smallholder farmers. Involving women without understanding and keeping in view their social, economic and political conditions aggravate already stress and workload even further instead of providing them with relief in addition to advancing and exasperating the gender inequalities.

This review points out that an empowering strategy and enabling policy are important in ensuring equitable benefits of climate finance to both women and men. In order to proffer healthy and enabling conditions for

everyone, climate financing programs need to include gender-responsive policies that not only disentangle the deeply embedded hegemonic socio-cultural structures but also foster behavioral change against gender stereotypes on an individual level.

Furthermore, just focusing on women won't be adequate, women must be effectively engaged with a climate action venture's direction and strategies to manifest prolific outcomes as when resources and backing from climate finance schemes line up with women's necessities, women more frequently start taking up prominent decision making roles inside the climate action ventures.

Along with unraveling societal structures and behavioral patterns, this paper further accentuates the significance of human and social capital modules of climate finance strategies particularly when they center around information imparting and capacity building. With enhanced human and social capital through climate financing plans, women and gender minorities can get sufficient resources and information that was previously accessible to men. They can gain new abilities that can not only enable them to turn into useful managers of ecological assets and adjust to environmental disturbances such as environmental and climate change but can also make them active partakers and implementors of climate adaptation and mitigation strategies.

## 8. References

- Aboyella, A. C. (2015). *The Contribution of Farmers' Knowledge, Attitudes and Institutional Support Towards Shea Conservation Management in the Upper East Region* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Ghana).
- Aryal, K., Thapa, P. S., & Lamichhane, D. (2019). Revisiting agroforestry for building climate resilient communities: a case of package-based integrated agroforestry practices in Nepal. *Emerging science journal*, 3(5), 303-311.
- Asfaw, A., Lemenih, M., Kassa, H., & Ewnetu, Z. (2013). Importance, determinants and gender dimensions of forest income in eastern highlands of Ethiopia: The case of communities around Jelo Afromontane forest. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 28, 1-7.
- Barros, V. R., Field, C. B., Dokken, D. J., Mastrandrea, M. D., Mach, K. J., Bilir, T. E., ... & White, L. L. (2014). Climate change 2014 impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability Part B: regional aspects: working group II contribution to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. In *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability: Part B: Regional Aspects: Working Group II Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (pp. 1-1820). Cambridge University Press.
- Benjamin, E. O., & Blum, M. (2015). Participation of smallholders in agroforestry agri-environmental scheme: A lesson from the rural mount Kenyan region. *The Journal of Developing Areas*, 127-143.
- Bettles, J., Battisti, D. S., Cook-Patton, S. C., Kroeger, T., Spector, J. T., Wolff, N. H., & Masuda, Y. J. (2021). Agroforestry and non-state actors: A review. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 130, 102538.
- Bhalotra, S., & Heady, C. (2003). Child farm labor: The wealth paradox. *The World Bank Economic Review*, 17(2), 197-227.
- Boisson de Chazournes, L. (2005). The Global Environment Facility (GEF): A unique and crucial institution. *Rev. Eur. Comp. & Int'l Env'tl. L.*, 14, 193.
- Brugere, C., and Williams, M. (2017). Profile: Women in Aquaculture. Available online at: <https://genderaquafish.org/portfolio/women-in-aquaculture/> (accessed May 6 2020).
- Bryant, C. A., Courtney, A. H., McDermott, R. J., Lindenberger, J. H., Swanson, M. A., Mayer, A. B., ... & Biroscak, B. J. (2014). Community-based prevention marketing for policy development: A new planning framework for coalitions. *Social Marketing Quarterly*, 20(4), 219-246.
- Buchenrieder, G. (2004). Frauen und globale Nahrungssicherung. In Hohenheimer Beiträge zu Gender und Ernährung, Rückert-John, J. (Hrsg.): 73-90. Stuttgart, D: Universität Hohenheim.
- Buchner, B., Clark, A., Falconer, A., Macquarie, R., Meattle, C., & Wetherbee, C. (2019). Global landscape of climate finance 2019.
- Castañeda, I., Sabater, L., Owren, C. and Boyer, A.E. (2020). Gender-based violence and environmental linkages: The violence of inequality. Wen, J. (ed.). Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. 246pp. Available at: <https://portals.iucn.org/library/node/48969>

- Cheruiyot, S. A. (2019). *Forest Planning and Management for Human Development in Africa: a Case of Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
- Choro, Y. (2020). *THE PRIVATE ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF SOIL CARBON SEQUESTRATION* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Saskatchewan).
- Cleaver F. (2012). *Development Through Bricolage. Rethinking Institutions for Natural Resource Management*. San Francisco
- Croituru, L., Miranda, J. J., Khattabi, A., & Lee, J. J. (2020). The cost of coastal zone degradation in Nigeria.
- Davidson, N. C. (2014). How much wetland has the world lost? Long-term and recent trends in global wetland area. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 65(10), 934-941.
- Duarte, C. M., Middelburg, J. J., & Caraco, N. (2005). Major role of marine vegetation on the oceanic carbon cycle. *Biogeosciences*, 2(1), 1-8.
- Fao, F. (2011). The state of food and agriculture: Women in agriculture. *Closing the gender gap for development*.
- Fao. (2020). *The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020. Sustainability in Action*. Rome: FAO.
- Fortmann, L., & Rocheleau, D. (1985). Women and agroforestry: four myths and three case studies. *Agroforestry systems*, 2(4), 253-272.
- Frenova, S. (2020). Climate finance allocation practices to support gender responsive energy transitions: GCF case-study. In *Engendering the Energy Transition* (pp. 189-221). Palgrave Macmillan, Cham.
- Fund, A. (2015). About the adaptation fund. *Provisions for support to LDCs*, 45.
- Gonda, N. (2019). Re-politicizing the gender and climate change debate: The potential of feminist political ecology to engage with power in action in adaptation policies and projects in Nicaragua. *Geoforum*, 106, 87-96.
- Hanson, A. M. (2017). Women's environmental health activism around waste and plastic pollution in the coastal wetlands of Yucatán. *Gender & Development*, 25(2), 221-234.
- Hong, H., Karolyi, G. A., & Scheinkman, J. A. (2020). Climate finance. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 33(3), 1011-1023.
- IPCC, 2014. Fifth Assessment Report: Global and Sectoral Aspects.
- Kiptot, E., & Franzel, S. C. (2011). *Gender and agroforestry in Africa: are women participating?*. Nairobi: World Agroforestry Centre.
- Kiptot, E., & Franzel, S. (2012). Gender and agroforestry in Africa: who benefits? The African perspective. In *Agroforestry-the future of global land use* (pp. 463-496). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Kiptot, E. (2015). Gender roles, responsibilities, and spaces: implications for agroforestry research and development in Africa. *International Forestry Review*, 17(4), 11-21.
- Knox, J. H. (2009). Climate change and human rights law. *Va. J. Int'l L.*, 50, 163.
- Kristjanson, P., Waters-Bayer, A., Johnson, N., Tipilda, A., Njuki, J., Baltenweck, I., ... & MacMillan, S. (2014). Livestock and women's livelihoods. In *Gender in agriculture* (pp. 209-233). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Lambrou, Y., & Piana, G. (2006). *Gender: The missing component of the response to climate change* (pp. 1-58). Rome: FAO.
- Linger, E. (2014). Agro-ecosystem and socio-economic role of homegarden agroforestry in Jabithenan District, North-Western Ethiopia: implication for climate change adaptation. *SpringerPlus*, 3(1), 1-9.
- Manfre, C., Rubin, D., Allen, A., Summerfield, G., Colverson, K., & Akeredolu, M. (2013). Reducing the gender gap in agricultural extension and advisory services: How to find the best fit for men and women farmers. *Meas Brief*, 2.
- Mathur, V. N., Afionis, S., Paavola, J., Dougill, A. J., & Stringer, L. C. (2014). Experiences of host communities with carbon market projects: towards multi-level climate justice. *Climate Policy*, 14(1), 42-62.
- McDermott, M., Mahanty, S., & Schreckenber, K. (2013). Examining equity: a multidimensional framework for assessing equity in payments for ecosystem services. *Environmental science & policy*, 33, 416-427.

- Nakhooda, S., Norman, M., Barnard, S., Watson, C., Greenhill, R., Caravani, A., & Banton, G. (2014). Climate finance: is it making a difference. *A review of the effectiveness of the Multilateral Climate Funds*. Overseas Development Institute: London. (Forthcoming).
- Nel, J. L., Le Maitre, D. C., Nel, D. C., Reyers, B., Archibald, S., Van Wilgen, B. W., ... & Barwell, L. (2014). Natural hazards in a changing world: a case for ecosystem-based management. *PLoS one*, 9(5), e95942.
- Nelson, S., Sisto, I., Crowley, E., & Villarreal, M. (2012). Women in Agriculture: Closing the Gender Gap for Development1. *Feeding a Thirsty World*, 25.
- Oduol, P., Nyadzi, G., Swai, R., Schueller, M., Gama, B., Matata, S., ... & Mbaruk, D. (2006). Adoption and impact of agroforestry technologies on rural livelihoods in southern Africa. *World Agroforestry Centre, Maputo*.
- Oecd. (2016). Making climate finance work for women: Overview of bilateral ODA to gender and climate change. Retrieved from: <https://bit.ly/2P7IfSn>
- Ogunlela, Y. I., & Mukhtar, A. A. (2009). Gender issues in agriculture and rural development in Nigeria: The role of women. *Humanity & social sciences Journal*, 4(1), 19-30.
- Ohchr. (2022). *Gender-Responsive Climate Action*. from <https://www.ohchr.org/en/climate-change/gender-responsive-climate-action>
- Owren, C. (2021). Understanding and addressing gender-based violence as part of the climate emergency.
- Pascual, U., Phelps, J., Garmendia, E., Brown, K., Corbera, E., Martin, A., ... & Muradian, R. (2014). Social equity matters in payments for ecosystem services. *Bioscience*, 64(11), 1027-1036.
- Pendleton, L., Donato, D. C., Murray, B. C., Crooks, S., Jenkins, W. A., Sifleet, S., ... & Baldera, A. (2012). Estimating global “blue carbon” emissions from conversion and degradation of vegetated coastal ecosystems.
- Perrault, Thomas and Patricia Martin (2005) ‘Special issue on geographies of neoliberalism in Latin America’, *Environment and Planning A* 37(2): 191–201
- Prakash, A., Mcglade, K., Call, R., Roy, J., Some, S., & Rao, N. (2022). Climate adaptation interventions in coastal areas: a rapid review of social and gendered dimensions. *Frontiers in Climate*, 785212.
- Prati, G., Cazarro, I., & Hazra, S. (2022). Gender dimensions of the migration, sustainability and care nexus: The case study of the Mahanadi delta, India. *Current Research in Environmental Sustainability*, 4, 100104.
- Rai, N., & Smith, B. (2015). Climate Investment Funds Pilot Programme for Climate Resilience (PPCR) in Bangladesh A Status Review.
- Razavi, S. (2016). The 2030 Agenda: challenges of implementation to attain gender equality and women's rights. *Gender & Development*, 24(1), 25-41.
- Reij, C., & Garrity, D. (2016). Scaling up farmer-managed natural regeneration in Africa to restore degraded landscapes. *Biotropica*, 48(6), 834-843.
- Rocheleau, D., & Edmunds, D. (1997). Women, men and trees: Gender, power and property in forest and agrarian landscapes. *World development*, 25(8), 1351-1371.
- Röhr, U., Hemmati, M., & Lambrou, Y. (2009). Towards gender equality in climate change policy: challenges and perspectives for the future. *Women, Gender and Disaster: Global Issues and Initiatives*, 289-303.
- Samuwai, J., Fihaki, E., & Te Ruki Rangī o Tangaroa Underhill-Sem, Y. (2020). Demystifying climate finance impacts in small island developing states: Pacific women’s perspectives from Funafuti and Weno.
- Satapornvanit, A. N. (2018). The importance of gender in fisheries: the USAID Oceans experience. *Fish People* 16, 9–13. Available online at: <http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12066/1381>
- Schalatek, L. (2009). *Gender and climate finance: double mainstreaming for sustainable development*. Heinrich Böll Foundation.
- Schalatek, L., Nakhooda, S., & Watson, C. (2012). The green climate fund. *Overseas Development Institute and Heinrich Böll Stiftung North America*.
- Schoeneberger, M., Bentrup, G., De Gooijer, H., Soolanayakanahally, R., Sauer, T., Brandle, J., ... & Current, D. (2012). Branching out: Agroforestry as a climate change mitigation and adaptation tool for agriculture. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 67(5), 128A-136A.

- Secretariat, C. N. B. (2020). Climate Change Induced Gender Based Violence against Women during Water Collection: A Case Study in Shatkhira Upazilla, Bangladesh.
- Sharmin, S., & Khan, N. A. (2012). Gender and development amongst a wetland community in Bangladesh: Views from the field. *OIDA International Journal of Sustainable Development*, 3(4), 11-22.
- Suarez, I. (2020, September 20). *Coastal “Blue Carbon”*: An Important Tool for Combating Climate Change. The Pew Charitable Trusts. <https://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/issue-briefs/2021/09/coastal-blue-carbon-an-important-tool-for-combating-climate-change>
- Ten Brink, P., Mazza, L., Badura, T., Kettunen, M., & Withana, S. (2014). Governance of the transition to a green economy-responding to the values of nature. In *Handbook on the Economics of Ecosystem Services and Biodiversity*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Unicef, (2019). Progress on Drinking Water and Sanitation. Available at: [https://www.who.int/water\\_sanitation\\_health/publications/jmpreport-2019/en](https://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/jmpreport-2019/en)
- Vardhan, M., & Catacutan, D. (2017). Analyzing gender and social equity in payments for environmental services projects: lessons from Southeast Asia and East Africa. *Co-investment in ecosystem services: Global lessons from payment and incentive schemes*. World agroforestry centre (ICRAF) Nairobi.
- Wong, S. (2016). Can climate finance contribute to gender equity in developing countries?. *Journal of International Development*, 28(3), 428-444.
- Worthington, T., & Spalding, M. (2018). Mangrove restoration potential: A global map highlighting a critical opportunity.

### Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).